UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Quarterly Period Ended September 30, 2007

Commission file number 1-32737



nnsylvania

Pennsylvania (State of incorporation)

Large accelerated filer □

20-1878963 (IRS Employer Identification No.)

Non-accelerated filer \square

436 Seventh Avenue Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219

(Address of principal executive offices)

(412) 227-2001

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter periods that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes 🗵 No 🗆
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Accelerated filer ⊠

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes \Box No \boxtimes

Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, outstanding at October 31, 2007 amounted to 20,826,551 shares.

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Koppers Holdings Inc.

Consolidated Statement of Operations (Dollars in millions, except per share amounts)

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine m End Septem	led
	2007	2006	2007	2006
	(Unau		(Unau	
Net sales	\$ 347.1	\$ 301.5	\$1,001.1	\$ 838.8
Cost of sales (excluding items below)	283.7	248.0	816.6	701.9
Depreciation and amortization	7.8	8.2	23.9	23.8
Selling, general and administrative expenses	15.4	13.3	47.3	44.6
Operating profit	40.2	32.0	113.3	68.5
Other income	0.2	0.2	0.3	1.0
Interest expense	11.3	11.6	34.7	49.8
Income before income taxes and minority interest	29.1	20.6	78.9	19.7
Income taxes	9.6	7.2	25.1	6.9
Minority interest	0.7	1.1	2.3	1.6
Income from continuing operations	18.8	12.3	51.5	11.2
Income from discontinued operations, net of tax expense (benefit) of \$—, \$(0.1), \$0.4 and \$0.2	_	0.1	0.1	0.2
Gain on sale of Koppers Arch, net of tax expense of \$4.3	6.7		6.7	
Net income	\$ 25.5	\$ 12.4	\$ 58.3	\$ 11.4
Earnings per common share:				
Basic –				
Continuing operations	\$ 0.91	\$ 0.60	\$ 2.48	\$ 0.60
Discontinued operations	0.32		\$ 0.33	0.01
Earnings per basic common share	\$ 1.23	\$ 0.60	\$ 2.81	\$ 0.61
Diluted –			·	<u> </u>
Continuing operations	\$ 0.90	\$ 0.59	\$ 2.46	\$ 0.56
Discontinued operations	0.32		\$ 0.33	0.01
Earnings per diluted common share	\$ 1.22	\$ 0.59	\$ 2.79	\$ 0.57
Weighted average shares outstanding (in thousands):				
Basic	20,773	20,672	20,748	18,650
Diluted	20,883	20,800	20,864	19,806
Dividends declared per common share	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.51	\$ 1.13

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet (Dollars in millions, except per share amounts)

		tember 30, 2007 naudited)	Dec	2006
Assets	,	,		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	20.6	\$	24.4
Accounts receivable, net of allowance of \$0.2 and \$0.3		162.5		142.1
Inventories, net		178.7		156.4
Deferred tax benefit		15.1		15.1
Other current assets		7.0		11.5
Total current assets		383.9		349.5
Equity in non-consolidated investments		4.7		2.7
Property, plant and equipment, net		151.7		159.3
Goodwill		62.5		62.6
Deferred tax benefit		42.4		45.6
Other assets		31.0		29.7
Total assets	\$	676.2	\$	649.4
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$	100.0	\$	100.5
Dividends payable		3.5		3.5
Accrued liabilities		79.3		63.6
Short-term debt and current portion of long-term debt		14.8		19.6
Total current liabilities		197.6		187.2
Long-term debt		428.1		456.3
Other long-term liabilities		74.8		86.1
Total liabilities		700.5		729.6
Commitments and contingencies (Note 18)				
Minority interest		8.8		12.2
Stockholders' Deficit				
Senior Convertible Preferred Stock, \$0.01 par value per share; 10,000,000 shares authorized; 0				
shares issued		_		
Common Stock, \$0.01 par value per share; 40,000,000 shares authorized; 20,971,456 and 20,849,981 shares issued		0.2		0.2
Additional paid-in capital		124.5		122.4
Receivable from Director for purchase of Common Stock		(0.6)		(0.6)
Retained deficit		(159.0)		(206.5)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)		4.1		(6.5)
Treasury stock, at cost, 144,905 and 120,158 shares		(2.3)		(1.4)
Total stockholders' deficit		(33.1)		(92.4)
Total liabilities and stockholders' deficit	\$	676.2	\$	649.4
וטומו וומטווונופט מוזע פוטלווטועפוט עפווטונ	φ	070.2	<u>Φ</u>	049.4

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows (Dollars in millions)

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2007	2006
Cash provided by (used in) operating activities:	(Unat	idited)
Net income	\$ 58.3	\$ 11.4
Adjustments to reconcile net cash provided by operating activities:	Ψ 30.3	Ψ 11.4
Depreciation and amortization	25.6	26.0
(Gain) loss on sale of fixed assets	(10.8)	0.8
Deferred income taxes	2.5	(1.2)
Equity income of affiliated companies, net of dividends received	0.3	0.1
Change in reserves	(10.7)	(8.5)
Minority interest	0.7	2.0
Non-cash interest expense	11.5	10.5
Other	(2.2)	4.0
(Increase) decrease in working capital:	,	
Accounts receivable	(26.6)	(32.2)
Inventories	(27.5)	(7.3)
Accounts payable	6.7	15.6
Accrued liabilities and other working capital	21.7	3.7
Net cash provided by operating activities	49.5	24.9
Cash provided by (used in) investing activities:		
Capital expenditures	(15.6)	(18.6)
Acquisitions	(3.9)	(45.1)
Net cash proceeds from divestitures and asset sales	12.0	2.3
Net cash used in investing activities	(7.5)	(61.4)
Cash provided by (used in) financing activities:		
Borrowings of revolving credit	224.5	211.0
Repayments of revolving credit	(243.3)	(207.3)
Borrowings of long-term debt	_	53.0
Repayments of long-term debt	(17.8)	(115.3)
Dividends paid	(10.6)	(13.8)
Payment of deferred financing costs	(0.2)	(0.7)
Excess tax benefit from employee stock plans	1.2	0.4
Issuances of Common Stock	_	121.8
Common Stock issuance costs		(9.6)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(46.2)	39.5
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	0.4	(0.2)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(3.8)	2.8
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	24.4	26.1
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 20.6	\$ 28.9

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and related disclosures have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States applicable to interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments considered necessary for a fair presentation of Koppers Holdings Inc.'s and its subsidiaries' ("Koppers", "Koppers Holdings" or the "Company") financial position and interim results as of and for the periods presented have been included. All such adjustments are of a normal recurring nature unless disclosed otherwise. Because the Company's business is seasonal, results for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of those that may be expected for a full year. The Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet for December 31, 2006 has been summarized from the audited balance sheet contained in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.

The financial information included herein should be read in conjunction with the Company's audited consolidated financial statements and related notes included in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.

2. New Accounting Guidance

In February 2007, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities* ("SFAS 159"). SFAS 159 allows companies to elect to measure many financial assets and financial liabilities at fair value (the "fair value option"). The election is made on an instrument-by-instrument basis and is irrevocable. If the fair value option is elected for an instrument, SFAS 159 specifies that all subsequent changes in fair value for that instrument must be reported in earnings. SFAS 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. The Company is currently evaluating if it will apply the voluntary fair value option to any of its financial assets and financial liabilities.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS 157, Fair Value Measurements. SFAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS 157 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. The Company is currently evaluating the impact that will result from the adoption of SFAS 157.

3. Accounting Changes

In October 2006, the FASB issued SFAS 158, *Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans, an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106 and 132(R).* SFAS 158 requires a company to recognize in its balance sheet an asset for a defined benefit postretirement plan's overfunded status or a liability for a plan's underfunded status. The accounting standard also requires that the postretirement projected benefit obligation measurement date be December 31 for all plans. The Company adopted the funded status recognition provisions of SFAS 158 effective December 31, 2006 and recorded a charge to accumulated other comprehensive income of \$7.0 million, net of tax. The Company adopted the measurement date provisions of SFAS 158 effective January 1, 2007 for its one pension plan

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

with a measurement date other than December 31. The impact of the adoption of the measurement date provisions resulted in an increase to opening retained deficit of \$0.1 million.

In July 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109* ("FIN 48"). FIN 48 prescribes a comprehensive model for how a company should recognize, measure, present and disclose in its financial statements uncertain tax positions that a company has taken or expects to take on a tax return. The Company adopted FIN 48 effective January 1, 2007 and no impact to opening retained deficit occurred as a result of adoption.

In June 2006, the FASB's Emerging Issues Task Force (the "EITF") issued EITF 06-2, *Accounting for Sabbatical Leave and Other Similar Benefits Pursuant to FASB Statement No. 43*. EITF 06-2 requires accrual of sabbatical leave or other similar compensated absences over the requisite service period of the employee. The Company adopted EITF 06-2 effective January 1, 2007 and recorded an increase to opening retained deficit of \$0.1 million.

4. Initial Public Offering

The Company completed an initial public offering ("IPO") in February 2006, resulting in the issuance of 8,700,000 additional common shares at a price of \$14.00 per share, and the conversion of 2,288,481 shares of preferred stock into 9,107,926 shares of common stock. Of such converted shares, 2,800,000 were sold by the Company's shareholders in connection with the IPO and the related over-allotment option. The Company received approximately \$111.1 million of net proceeds (after \$10.7 million of expenses) from the issuance and sale of 8,700,000 shares, which proceeds were used to redeem \$101.7 million principal amount of the Senior Secured Notes due 2013 (the "Senior Secured Notes") and pay a related call premium of \$10.1 million. The Company expensed \$3.2 million of deferred financing costs related to the buyback of the notes and incurred \$1.1 million of bond consent fees. The call premium, write-off of deferred financing costs, and consent fees were recorded as interest expense. The Company also incurred \$3.0 million for the termination of the Saratoga Partners III, L.P. ("Saratoga") advisory services contract, which was recorded in selling, general and administrative expense. A post-IPO dividend (declared in February 2006) of \$8.2 million, the consent fees and the Saratoga termination fee were financed through borrowings under the revolving credit agreement.

5. Dividends

On November 7, 2007, the Company's board of directors declared a quarterly dividend of 17 cents per common share, payable on January 2, 2008 to shareholders of record as of November 19, 2007.

Acquisitions and Disposals

Business Divestiture

On July 5, 2007, the Company sold its 51 percent interest in Koppers Arch Investments Pty Limited and its subsidiaries ("Koppers Arch") to Arch Chemicals Inc. for net cash proceeds of \$14.3 million and recognized a gain from the sale, net of tax, of \$6.7 million. Effective as of this date, Koppers Arch was classified as a discontinued operation in the Company's statement of operations and earnings per share and the Company's financial statements have been accordingly restated. During a transition period not to exceed 12 months after the closing date, the Company will provide transition services to the buyer, including payroll and certain information technology services.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

Net sales and operating profit from discontinued operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 consist of the following amounts:

	Three Months Ended		End	Months ded
	September 30, 2007 2006		2007	nber 30, 2006
			n millions)	
Net sales	\$ —	\$12.9	\$27.1	\$ 38.1
Operating profit	_	0.1	1.0	1.3
Basic and diluted earnings per common share:				
Income from discontinued operations	\$ —	\$ —	\$0.01	\$ 0.01
Gain on sale of Koppers Arch	0.32	_	0.32	_
Earnings per common share	\$0.32	\$ —	\$0.33	\$ 0.01

Business Combination

On April 28, 2006 the Company acquired certain assets of Reilly Industries, Inc.'s ("Reilly") carbon materials business for \$45.1 million. The purchased assets consist primarily of inventories, customer sales contracts, raw material supply contracts, rail car leases, pitch melting assets and a non-compete agreement. Acquired intangible assets, including goodwill, totaled \$32.1 million. The Company has integrated the additional tar distillation production at its existing facilities in the U.S. Net sales related to the acquired Reilly assets totaled \$55.8 million from the date of acquisition to December 31, 2006.

7. Comprehensive Income

Total comprehensive income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 is summarized in the table below:

	Three Months Ended		Nine M End	ded
	September 30,		Septem	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
		(Dollars i	n millions)	
Net income	\$25.5	\$12.4	\$58.3	\$11.4
Other comprehensive income (loss):				
Change in currency translation adjustment	5.5	1.8	13.0	4.1
Business divestiture	(3.0)	_	(3.0)	_
Change in unrecognized pension transition asset, net of tax	(0.1)	_	(0.2)	_
Change in unrecognized prior service cost, net of tax	(0.1)	_	(0.1)	_
Change in unrecognized pension net loss, net of tax	0.4		0.9	
Total comprehensive income	\$28.2	\$14.2	\$68.9	\$15.5

8. Earnings per Common Share

The computation of basic earnings per common share for the periods presented is based upon the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the periods. The computation of diluted earnings per common share includes the effect of nonvested nonqualified stock options and restricted stock units assuming such options and stock units were outstanding common shares at the beginning of the period. The effect of antidilutive securities is excluded from the computation of diluted

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

earnings per common share. For this reason, the nonqualified stock options were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 (totaling 60,200 and 32,092 shares, respectively).

The following tables set forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per common share for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006:

	Three Months Ended September 30,			
	2	007	20	
	Basic	Diluted	Basic	Diluted
		(Dollars in millions, exc		1
		thousands and pe		
Income from continuing operations	<u>\$ 18.8</u>	<u>\$ 18.8</u>	<u>\$ 12.3</u>	\$ 12.3
Shares of common stock outstanding:				
Weighted-average common shares outstanding	20,773	20,773	20,672	20,672
Effect of dilutive securities		110		128
Average common shares	20,773	20,883	20,672	20,800
Earnings per common share	\$ 0.91	\$ 0.90	\$ 0.60	\$ 0.59
		Nine Months End	ed September 30,	
		Nine Months End	ed September 30,	06
	Basic			06 <u>Diluted</u>
	Basic	Diluted (Dollars in millions, exc	Basic cept share amounts, ir	Diluted
Income from continuing operations	Basic	Diluted	Basic cept share amounts, ir	Diluted
Income from continuing operations	Basic	Diluted Diluted (Dollars in millions, exc thousands and pe	Basic cept share amounts, ir	Diluted
Shares of common stock outstanding:		Diluted (Dollars in millions, exthousands and pe	Basic cept share amounts, ir er share amounts) \$ 11.2	Diluted \$ 11.2
Shares of common stock outstanding: Weighted-average common shares outstanding	Basic	Diluted (Dollars in millions, exc thousands and pe	Basic cept share amounts, ir	Diluted \$ 11.2
Shares of common stock outstanding:		Diluted (Dollars in millions, exthousands and pe	Basic cept share amounts, ir er share amounts) \$ 11.2	Diluted \$ 11.2
Shares of common stock outstanding: Weighted-average common shares outstanding		Diluted (Dollars in millions, exc thousands and pe	Basic cept share amounts, ir er share amounts) \$ 11.2	Diluted \$ 11.2

9. Stock-based Compensation

In December 2005, the Company's board of directors and shareholders adopted the 2005 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the "LTIP"). The LTIP provides for the grant to eligible persons of stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units, performance shares, performance awards, dividend equivalents and other stock-based awards, which we refer to collectively as the awards.

On March 22, 2007, the board of directors granted 33,877 restricted stock units and 69,800 performance stock units to certain employee participants (collectively, the "stock units"). The restricted stock units will vest ratably in March 2008, 2009 and 2010, assuming continued employment by the participant. For certain participants who currently have unvested restricted stock units from a prior grant, vesting of the May 2007 restricted stock award will occur at the end of a three-year service period. The performance stock units will vest upon the attainment of the applicable performance

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

objective at the end of a three-year measurement period ending on December 31, 2009. The applicable performance objective is based upon a three-year cumulative value creation calculation commencing January 1, 2007. The number of performance stock units granted represents the target award and participants have the ability to earn between zero and 150 percent of the target award based upon actual performance. If minimum performance criteria are not achieved, no performance stock units will vest.

Dividends declared on the Company's common stock during the restriction period of the stock units are credited at equivalent value as additional stock units and become payable as additional common shares upon vesting. In the event of termination of employment, other than retirement, death or disability, any nonvested stock units are forfeited, including additional stock units credited from dividends. In the event of termination of employment due to retirement, death or disability, pro-rata vesting of the stock units over the service period will result. There are special vesting provisions for the stock units related to a change in control.

In accordance with accounting standards, compensation expense for nonvested stock units is recorded over the vesting period based on the fair value at the date of grant. The fair value of stock units is the market price of the underlying common stock on the date of grant.

The following table shows a summary of the performance stock units as of September 30, 2007 (dollars in millions except share amounts):

		Unrecognized			
	Fair	Compensation	Minimum	Target	Maximum
Performance Period	<u>Value</u>	Expense	Shares	Shares	Shares
2007-2009	\$2.6	\$ 2.2	_	68,450	102,675

The following table shows a summary of the status and activity of nonvested stock awards for the nine months ended September 30, 2007:

	Restricted Stock Units	Performance Stock Units	Total Stock Units	Gran	ted Average t Date Fair e per Unit
Nonvested at January 1, 2007	214,915	_	214,915	\$	3.30
Granted	33,877	69,800	103,677	\$	25.49
Vested	(103,477)	_	(103,477)	\$	3.30
Forfeited	(8,872)	(1,350)	(10,222)	\$	8.21
Nonvested September 30, 2007	136,443	68,450	204,893	\$	14.28

On May 8, 2007 (the "grant date"), the Company granted 60,200 nonqualified stock options to certain employee participants which vest and become exercisable upon the completion of a three-year service period commencing on the grant date. The stock options have a term of 10 years. In the event of termination of employment, all unvested stock options shall terminate and cease to be outstanding, except to the extent specifically authorized by the plan administrator. There are special vesting provisions for the stock options related to a change in control.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

In accordance with accounting standards, compensation expense for unvested stock options is recorded over the vesting period based on the fair value at the date of grant. The fair value of stock options on the date of grant is calculated using the Black-Scholes-Merton model and the assumptions listed below:

	May 2007 Grant
Grant date price per share of option award	\$ 29.97
Expected dividend yield per share	2.50%
Expected life in years	6.5
Expected volatility	40.39%
Risk-free interest rate	4.45%
Grant date fair value per share of option awards	\$ 11.01

The following table shows a summary of the status and activity of stock options for the nine months ended September 30, 2007:

	Options	Exe	ited Average rcise Price er Option	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (in years)	ate Intrinsic n millions)
As of January 1, 2007		\$			
Granted	60,200	\$ 29.97			
As of September 30, 2007	60,200	\$	29.97	9.6	\$ 0.5

There are no options eligible for exercise as of September 30, 2007.

Total stock-based compensation expense recognized for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 is as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30,	Nine Months Ended September 30,
	2007 2006 (Dolla	2007 2006 rs in millions)
Total stock-based compensation expense	<u>\$ 0.5</u> <u>\$ 0.1</u>	<u>\$ 1.5</u> <u>\$ 0.3</u>

10. Segment Information

The Company's two reportable operating segments are Carbon Materials & Chemicals and Railroad & Utility Products. The Company's reportable segments are business units that offer different products. The reportable segments are each managed separately because they manufacture and distribute distinct products with different production processes. The business units have been aggregated into two reportable segments since management believes the long-term financial performance of these business units is affected by similar economic conditions.

The Company's Carbon Materials & Chemicals segment is primarily a supplier of carbon pitch, phthalic anhydride, creosote, carbon black, carbon black feedstock and furnace coke. Carbon pitch is used primarily by the aluminum industry as a binder in the manufacture of anodes. Phthalic anhydride

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

("PAA") is used in the manufacture of plasticizers, unsaturated polyester resins, alkyd resins and dye making. Creosote is used in the protection of timber products against insects, fungal decay and weathering. Carbon black (and carbon black feedstock) is used in the production of rubber tires. Furnace coke is used in the production of steel. The Company sold its 51 percent interest in Koppers Arch Investments Pty. and its subsidiaries on July 5, 2007 (see Note 6). Koppers Arch Investments Pty. manufactured wood treatment chemicals.

The Company's Railroad & Utility Products segment provides various products and services to railroads, including crossties (both wood and concrete), track panels and switch pre-assemblies and disposal services. The segment also supplies treated wood poles to electric and telephone utilities and provides products to, and performs various wood treating services for, construction and other commercial applications.

The Company evaluates performance and determines resource allocations based on a number of factors, the primary measure being operating profit or loss from operations. Operating profit does not include equity in earnings of affiliates, other income, interest expense or income taxes. Operating profit also excludes the operating costs of Koppers Holdings Inc., the parent company of Koppers Inc. The accounting policies of the reportable segments are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies contained in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006. Intersegment transactions are eliminated in consolidation.

The following table sets forth certain sales and operating data, net of all intersegment transactions, for the Company's segments for the periods indicated:

Three Months Ended September 30,		Ende	ed
2007	2006	2007	2006
	(Dollars	in millions)	
\$223.0	\$190.7	\$ 631.9	\$504.5
124.1	110.8	369.2	334.3
\$347.1	\$301.5	\$1,001.1	\$838.8
\$ 20.0	\$ 14.3	\$ 53.8	\$ 34.8
\$ 20.0	<u>\$ 14.3</u>	<u>\$ 53.8</u>	\$ 34.8
\$ 6.2	\$ 6.2	\$ 18.8	\$ 17.8
1.6	2.0	5.1	6.0
\$ 7.8	\$ 8.2	\$ 23.9	\$ 23.8
\$ 30.5	\$ 22.8	\$ 79.1	\$ 48.4
9.9	9.4	35.6	20.4
(0.2)	(0.2)	(1.4)	(0.3)
\$ 40.2	\$ 32.0	<u>\$ 113.3</u>	\$ 68.5
	\$223.0 124.1 \$347.1 \$ 20.0 \$ 20.0 \$ 6.2 1.6 \$ 7.8 \$ 30.5 9.9 (0.2)	Ended September 30, 2007 2006 (Dollars) \$223.0 \$190.7 124.1 110.8 \$347.1 \$301.5 \$20.0 \$14.3	Ended September 30, Ende September 2007 September 2006 2007 2006 2007 2007 2007 2007 2007 2007 2007 2007 2007 2007 2007 2007 2007 2007 2007 2007 2009 200

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

The following table sets forth certain tangible and intangible assets allocated to each of the Company's segments as of the dates indicated:

	ember 30, 2007		ember 31, 2006
	(Dollars	in millions)	
Segment assets:			
Carbon Materials & Chemicals	\$ 456.8	\$	428.7
Railroad & Utility Products	152.8		150.3
All Other	66.6		70.4
Total	\$ 676.2	\$	649.4
Goodwill:	 		
Carbon Materials & Chemicals	\$ 60.3		60.6
Railroad & Utility Products	2.2		2.0
Total	\$ 62.5	\$	62.6

The sale of Koppers Arch resulted in the disposal of approximately \$2.5 million of goodwill associated with the Carbon Materials & Chemicals segment. Currency translation adjustments totaling \$2.4 million resulted in an offsetting increase to goodwill as of September 30, 2007.

11. Income Taxes

Effective Tax Rate

Income taxes as a percentage of pretax income is 33.2 percent and 34.7 percent for the three months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively. There were no discrete items included in the estimated effective tax rate for either period related to income from continuing operations. The effective tax rate for the three months ended September 30, 2007 differs from the U.S. federal statutory rate of 35.0 percent due primarily to the recognition of non-conventional fuel tax credits (-4.8 percent) offset by taxes on foreign earnings (+2.2 percent). With respect to the three months ended September 30, 2006, the effective tax rate differs from the federal statutory rate due primarily to the recognition of non-conventional fuel tax credits (-10.9 percent) partially offset by taxes on foreign earnings (+6.9 percent) and other permanent items (+1.5 percent).

Income taxes as a percentage of pretax income is 31.9 percent and 35.2 percent for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and September 30, 2006, respectively. There were no discrete items included in the estimated effective tax rate for either period related to income from continuing operations. The effective tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 differs from the U.S. federal statutory rate of 35.0 percent due primarily to the recognition of non-conventional fuel tax credits (-5.6 percent) offset by taxes on foreign earnings (+2.1 percent). With respect to the nine months ended September 30, 2006, the effective tax rate differs from the federal statutory rate primarily due to the recognition of non-conventional fuel tax credits (-11.4 percent) partially offset by taxes on foreign earnings (+9.1 percent) and other permanent items (+1.8 percent).

The income tax provision for interim periods is based on an estimated annual effective tax rate, which requires management to make its best estimate of annual pretax income by domestic and foreign jurisdictions and other items that impact taxable income. During the year, management regularly updates estimates based on changes in various factors such as product prices, shipments, product mix, operating and administrative costs, earnings mix by taxable jurisdiction, repatriation of

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

foreign earnings, uncertain tax positions and the ability to claim tax credits such as the non-conventional fuel tax credit. To the extent that actual results vary from the estimates at the end of the third quarter, the actual tax provision recognized for 2007 could be materially different from the forecasted annual tax provision as of the end of the third quarter.

Uncertain Tax Positions

The Company or one of its subsidiaries files income tax returns in U.S. federal jurisdiction, individual U.S. state jurisdictions and non-U.S. jurisdictions. With few exceptions, the Company is no longer subject to U.S. federal, state and local, or non-U.S. income tax examinations by tax authorities for years before 2002.

The Company adopted the provisions of FIN 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes*, on January 1, 2007. As a result of the adoption of FIN 48, the Company did not recognize a material change in the liability for unrecognized tax benefits. As of the date of adoption, the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would affect the effective tax rate, was approximately \$1.7 million. The amount of gross unrecognized tax benefits as of the date of adoption was \$2.3 million.

The Company recognizes interest expense and any related penalties from uncertain tax positions in income tax expense. As of January 1, 2007, the Company had accrued approximately \$0.4 million for interest and penalties.

12. Inventories

Net inventories as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006 are summarized in the table below:

	September 3 2007	0, December 31, 2006
		(Dollars in millions)
Raw materials	\$ 103.	1 \$ 89.4
Work in process	6.	5 6.3
Finished goods	95.	4 84.6
	205.	0 180.3
Less revaluation to LIFO	26.	3 23.9
Net	\$ 178.	7 \$ 156.4

13. Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006 are summarized in the table below:

	September 30 2007	2006
	(1	Dollars in millions)
Land	\$ 6.8	\$ 6.7
Buildings	22.6	22.6
Machinery and equipment	532.9	518.2
	562.3	547.5
Less accumulated depreciation	410.6	388.2
Net	\$ 151.7	\$ 159.3

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

14. Pensions and Postretirement Benefit Plans

The Company and its subsidiaries maintain a number of defined benefit and defined contribution plans to provide retirement benefits for employees in the U.S., as well as employees outside the U.S. These plans are maintained and contributions are made in accordance with the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 ("ERISA"), local statutory law or as determined by the board of directors. The defined benefit pension plans generally provide benefits based upon years of service and compensation. Pension plans are funded except for two domestic non-qualified defined benefit pension plans for certain key executives. The defined contribution plans generally provide retirement assets to employee participants based upon employer and employee contributions to the participant's individual investment account. The Company also provides retiree medical insurance coverage to certain U.S. employees and a life insurance benefit to most U.S. employees.

In November 2006, the Company's board of directors approved a freeze of the Company's U.S. qualified and corresponding non-qualified defined benefit pension plans for salaried employees. Effective December 31, 2006, salaried employees no longer accrue additional years of service or recognize future increases in compensation under the existing defined benefit pension plans for benefit purposes. In addition, the Company has recently negotiated "soft" freezes with respect to a number of hourly defined benefit pension plans. Such negotiated agreements preclude new employees from entering the defined benefit pension plans.

In lieu of the reduction in benefits under the qualified defined benefit plan for U.S. salaried employees, the Company provides, based on age and years of service, a uniform employer contribution of at least three percent and up to a maximum of nine percent of compensation to the salaried employee's defined contribution plan. The Company also matches contributions by salaried employees at an amount equal to 50 percent of the first six percent of compensation contributed by the salaried employee. On August 8, 2007, the Company's board of directors approved the establishment of a supplemental benefit plan to restore employer non-elective contributions lost to certain participants in the Company's defined contribution plan under U.S. tax law.

With respect to U.S. hourly employees who are not eligible to participate in an hourly defined benefit pension plan, the Company provides a uniform employer contribution of three percent of compensation to the hourly employee's defined contribution plan. The Company also matches contributions by hourly employees at an amount equal to 100 percent of the first one percent and 50 percent on the next two percent of compensation contributed by the hourly employee.

The following table provides the components of net periodic benefit cost for the defined benefit pension plans for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006:

		Three Months Ended September 30,		Ended End		e Months Ended tember 30,
	2007	2006	2007	2006		
		(Dolla	rs in millions)			
Service cost	\$ 0.8	\$ 1.1	\$ 2.7	\$ 3.8		
Interest cost	2.8	2.5	8.3	7.5		
Expected return on plan assets	(3.3)	(2.7)	(9.8)	(8.0)		
Amortization of prior service cost	_	0.1	0.1	0.3		
Amortization of net loss	0.5	0.6	1.4	1.9		
Amortization of transition asset	(0.1)		(0.3)	(0.2)		
Net periodic benefit cost	<u>\$ 0.7</u>	<u>\$ 1.6</u>	\$ 2.4	<u>\$ 5.3</u>		
•						

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

The following table provides the components of net periodic benefit cost for the other postretirement benefits for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006:

	Ī	Three Months Ended September 30,		Months ded ober 30,
	2007	2006 (Dollars i	2007 in millions)	2006
Service cost	\$-	\$ —	\$ 0.2	\$ 0.2
Interest cost	0.2	0.2	0.6	0.7
Amortization of prior service cost	-	_	(0.2)	(0.2)
Amortization of net loss			0.1	0.1
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 0.2	\$ 0.2	\$ 0.7	\$ 0.8
Amortization of prior service cost Amortization of net loss	0.2 — — — \$ 0.2		(0.2) 0.1	(0.2

The Company's expense related to its defined contribution plans amounted to \$1.0 million and \$0.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively, and \$3.3 million and \$1.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

15. Debt

Debt at September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006 was as follows:

	Weighted Average			
	Interest Rate	Maturity	ember 30, 2007 (Dollars ii	ember 31, 2006
Senior Secured Revolving Credit Facility	7.09%	2009	\$ 23.6	\$ 44.5
Other revolving credit facilities	6.10%	2007	2.8	6.1
Senior Secured Term Loans	7.46%	2009	34.6	52.0
Other term loans	— %	2010	_	3.5
Senior Secured Notes	9 ⁷ /8%	2013	216.5	215.9
Senior Discount Notes	9 ⁷ /8%	2014	165.4	153.9
Total			 442.9	 475.9
Less short term debt and current maturities of long-term debt			14.8	19.6
Long-term debt			\$ 428.1	\$ 456.3

Senior Secured Revolving Credit Facility & Term Loans

The Koppers Inc. senior secured credit facility agreement, as amended, provides for a revolving credit facility of up to \$125.0 million and term loans of \$34.6 million at variable rates. The loans are secured by a first priority lien on substantially all of Koppers Inc.'s assets, including the assets of certain significant subsidiaries. Revolving credit availability is calculated based on receivables and inventory as well as the attainment of certain financial ratios. The credit facility contains certain covenants that limit capital expenditures by Koppers Inc. and restrict its ability to incur additional indebtedness, create liens on its assets, enter into leases, pay dividends and make investments or acquisitions. In addition, such covenants give rise to events of default upon the failure by Koppers Inc. to meet certain financial ratios.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

As of September 30, 2007, the Company had \$82.2 million of unused revolving credit availability for working capital purposes after restrictions by various debt covenants and certain letter of credit commitments. As of September 30, 2007, \$19.8 million of commitments were utilized by outstanding letters of credit. In addition, as of September 30, 2007, the Company had outstanding term loans of \$34.6 million under the credit facility.

Senior Secured Notes

The 9⁷/8 % Senior Secured Notes due 2013 (the "Senior Secured Notes") are guaranteed, jointly and severally, on a senior secured basis by certain of the Company's subsidiaries. Interest is payable semiannually in arrears on April 15 and October 15 of each year. The Senior Secured Notes and subsidiary guarantees are senior obligations of Koppers Inc. and its subsidiary guarantors, respectively, and are secured by a second priority lien on and security interest in substantially all of the assets owned by Koppers Inc. and its subsidiary guarantors that secure Koppers Inc.'s obligations under its senior secured credit facilities. On or after October 15, 2008, the Company is entitled to redeem all or a portion of the Senior Secured Notes at a redemption price of 104.938 percent of principal value, declining annually in ratable amounts until the redemption price is equivalent to the principal value.

The Company has a notional \$50.0 million interest rate swap to convert a portion of the Senior Secured Notes from fixed-interest rate debt to floating-interest rate debt. Accordingly, the interest rate swap is reflected at fair value in other long-term liabilities and the Senior Secured Notes subject to the notional amount of the interest rate swap is reflected at fair value at each balance sheet date. At September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, the impact of the interest rate swap decreased the carrying value of the Senior Secured Notes by \$1.8 million and \$2.4 million, respectively.

The indentures governing the Senior Secured Notes include customary covenants that restrict, among other things, the ability of Koppers Inc. and its subsidiaries to incur additional debt, pay dividends or make certain other restricted payments, incur liens, merge or sell all or substantially all of the assets of Koppers Inc. or its subsidiaries or enter into various transactions with affiliates.

Senior Discount Notes

Koppers Holdings' 9 7/8% Senior Discount Notes due 2014 (the "Senior Discount Notes") have a principal amount at maturity of \$203.0 million. No cash interest is required to be paid prior to November 15, 2009. The accreted value of each Senior Discount Note increases from the date of issuance until November 15, 2009, at a rate of 9 7/8% per annum compounded semiannually such that on November 19, 2009 the accreted value will equal \$203.0 million, the principal amount due at maturity. Subsequent to November 19, 2009, cash interest on the Senior Discount Notes will accrue and be payable semi-annually in arrears on May 15 and November 15 of each year, commencing on May 15, 2010.

The Senior Discount Notes are effectively subordinated to the Company's existing and future secured indebtedness, and are structurally subordinated to all of the existing and future indebtedness and other liabilities and preferred equity of the Company's subsidiaries. On or after November 15, 2009, the Company is entitled to redeem all or a portion of the Senior Discount Notes at a redemption price of 104.938 percent of principal value, declining annually in ratable amounts until the redemption price is equivalent to the principal value.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

16. Asset Retirement Obligations

The Company recognizes asset retirement obligations for the removal and disposal of residues; dismantling of certain tanks required by governmental authorities; cleaning and dismantling costs for owned rail cars; and cleaning costs for leased rail cars and barges. The following table reflects changes in the carrying values of asset retirement obligations:

	September 30, 2007		December 31, 2006	
	(Dollars ir	n millions)		
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 20.0	\$	22.3	
Accretion expense	1.2		1.7	
Revision in estimated cash flows	3.6		0.3	
Expenses incurred	(5.2)		(7.6)	
Acquisition	_		3.3	
Balance at end of period	\$ 19.6	\$	20.0	

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, the Company recorded charges of \$1.0 and \$3.2 million, respectively, with respect to revised plant closure and dismantlement costs.

17. Deferred Revenue from Extended Product Warranty Liabilities

The Company defers revenues associated with extended product warranty liabilities based on historical loss experience and sales of extended warranties on certain products. The following table reflects changes in the carrying values of deferred revenue:

	September 30, 2007			mber 31, 2006
		(Dollars i	in millions)	
Balance at beginning of year	\$	8.4	\$	8.1
Deferred revenue for sales of extended warranties		0.7		1.5
Revenue earned		(8.0)		(1.2)
Balance at end of period	\$	8.3	\$	8.4

18. Contingent Liabilities and Commitments

The Company and its subsidiaries are involved in litigation and various proceedings relating to environmental laws and regulations and antitrust, toxic tort, product liability and other matters. Certain of these matters are discussed below. The ultimate resolution of these contingencies could, individually or in the aggregate, be material to the consolidated financial statements.

Legal Proceedings

Litigation. In January 2007, Timtech Chemicals Limited ("Timtech") filed a Statement of Claim in the High Court of New Zealand against a number of corporate and individual defendants, including Koppers Arch Wood Protection (NZ) Limited, Koppers Arch Investments Pty. Limited, Koppers Australia Pty Limited and a number of other corporate and individual defendants. The Statement of Claim alleges various causes of action against the defendants including claims related to breaches of the New Zealand Commerce Act of 1986. Timtech is seeking damages against all defendants in the amount of \$3.9 million plus exemplary damages and pre-judgment interest in an unspecified amount. Until July 5, 2007, Koppers Arch Wood

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

Protection (NZ) Limited was a majority-owned subsidiary of Koppers Arch Investments Pty Limited, which was an Australian joint venture 51 percent of which was owned by World-Wide Ventures Corporation (an indirect subsidiary of the Company) and 49 percent of which was owned by Hickson Nederland BV, an affiliate of Arch Chemicals, Inc. Koppers Arch Wood Protection (NZ) Limited manufactured and marketed wood preservative products throughout New Zealand. The Company sold its 51 percent interest in Koppers Arch Investments Pty. Limited and its subsidiaries on July 5, 2007 (see Note 6) to Arch Chemicals and has provided an indemnity to the buyer for its share of liabilities, if any, arising from certain litigation and claims including the Timtech litigation and claims arising from the New Zealand Commerce Commission and the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission investigations.

Although the case will be vigorously defended, an unfavorable resolution of this matter may have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition, cash flows and results of operations. It is possible that other civil claims could be filed against the Company arising from alleged breaches of New Zealand and Australian competition laws. Such other claims, if filed and resolved unfavorably, could have a material adverse effect on the business, financial condition, cash flows and results of operations of the Company.

Product Liability Cases. Koppers Inc., along with other defendants, is currently a defendant in lawsuits filed in a variety of states in which the plaintiffs claim they suffered a variety of illnesses (including cancer) as a result of exposure to coal tar pitch sold by the defendants. There are 111 plaintiffs in 45 cases currently pending as compared to 72 plaintiffs in 36 cases as of December 31, 2006. Currently, there are a total of 38 cases filed in state court in Pennsylvania, one case each filed in state courts in California, Tennessee and Oregon, and two cases each filed in state courts in Texas and Indiana.

The plaintiffs in all 45 pending cases seek to recover compensatory damages, while plaintiffs in 35 cases also seek to recover punitive damages. The plaintiffs in the 38 cases filed in Pennsylvania state court seek unspecified damages in excess of the court's minimum arbitration jurisdictional limit of \$25,000. The plaintiffs in the two cases filed in Indiana state court also seek unspecified damages. The plaintiffs in the California state court case seek damages in excess of \$2.0 million, while the plaintiff in the Oregon state court case seeks damages in excess of \$1.8 million. The plaintiffs in the Tennessee state court case each seek damages of \$15.0 million. The plaintiffs in one Texas state court case seek damages that are not in excess of \$10.0 million. The plaintiffs in the remaining Texas state court case have dismissed their claims pending execution of final settlement and release documents.

The other defendants in these lawsuits vary from case to case and include companies such as Beazer East, Inc., United States Steel Corporation, Honeywell International Inc., Vertellus Specialties Inc., Dow Chemical Company, Rust-Oleum Corporation, UCAR Carbon Company, Inc., Exxon Mobil Corporation, Chemtura Corporation, SGL Carbon Corporation, Alcoa, Inc., and PPG Architectural Finishes Inc. Discovery is proceeding in these cases. The Company has not provided a reserve for these lawsuits because, at this time, the Company cannot reasonably determine the probability of a loss, and the amount of loss, if any, cannot be reasonably estimated. Although Koppers Inc. is vigorously defending these cases, an unfavorable resolution of these matters may have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition, cash flows and results of operations.

Koppers Inc. is currently a defendant in lawsuits in which the plaintiffs allege exposure to benzene, benzene containing products and other products, including oils and solvents sold by Koppers Inc. There are 74 plaintiffs in 11 cases currently pending as compared to 65 plaintiffs in 13 cases as of December 31, 2006. Most of these cases also involve numerous other defendants in addition to Koppers Inc. The Company has

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

not provided a reserve for these lawsuits because, at this time, the Company cannot reasonably determine the probability of loss, and the amount of loss, if any, cannot be reasonably estimated.

Somerville Cases. Koppers Inc. is currently defending three sets of state court cases involving approximately 150 plaintiffs who allegedly have worked or resided in Somerville, Texas. These cases are pending in Burleson County, Texas and in Tarrant County, Texas. The Burlington Northern Santa Fe Railway Company (the "BNSF") has also been named as a defendant in these cases. The complaints allege that plaintiffs have suffered personal injuries (including death, in some cases) and property damage resulting from toxic contamination from exposure to wood preservative chemicals used at the Somerville, Texas wood treatment plant. Koppers Inc. acquired the plant in 1995. The plant was operated at this site under different ownership from 1905 to 1995.

The complaints seek to recover various damages for each plaintiff, including compensatory and punitive damages within the jurisdictional limits of the court for, among other things, bodily injuries, pain and mental anguish, emotional distress, medical monitoring, medical expenses, diminished earning capacity, permanent disability, physical impairment and/or disfigurement, loss of companionship and society, loss of consortium, devaluation of property, loss of use and enjoyment of personal property, loss of use and enjoyment of real property, property damage, property remediation costs, funeral and burial expenses and lost wages. Koppers was served with two of the cases in September 2006 and October 2006. Koppers was joined in the third case (which had already been pending against the BNSF) in May 2007. The September 2006 case was most recently amended in May, 2007 and identifies approximately 44 plaintiffs (five of whom have claims against only the BNSF under the Federal Employees Liability Act). The October 2006 case was amended in April, 2007 and identifies a total of 10 plaintiffs, four of whom have claims against only the BNSF. The third case, which is the only one of the three cases filed in Tarrant County, Texas, identifies a total of 93 plaintiffs, of whom 92 are interveners. Discovery is proceeding in these cases.

Koppers, Inc. is defending a putative class action lawsuit which seeks the establishment of a medical monitoring program and the costs of periodic health screening and diagnostic testing for a class of approximately 7,500 people who have lived or currently live in Somerville, but who have not experienced any diseases. The case was filed in the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania in October 2007. Plaintiffs allege that they have been exposed to harmful levels of various toxic chemicals from the Somerville wood treatment plant. Plaintiffs seek unspecified damages, equitable relief, attorneys' fees and costs.

In addition to the lawsuit mentioned above, an additional complaint was filed in the District Court of Burleson County, Texas in October 2007. The complaint is a putative class action filed on behalf of current and former residents of Somerville, Texas who live or lived within a one mile radius of the Somerville wood treatment plant. Plaintiffs estimate the putative class to number in excess of 2,500 people. Koppers Inc. and the Burlington Northern Santa Fe Railway Company are defendants. The complaint seeks certification as a class action, compensatory damages in an unspecified amount, relocation expenses, injunctive relief, punitive damages in an unspecified amount, the costs of developing a notice plan to notify members of the putative class and attorneys' fees. The complaints contains counts relating to private nuisance, trespass, negligence, negligence per se and gross negligence arising from alleged emissions of toxic chemicals from the Somerville plant. Plaintiffs' also filed an application for a temporary injunction seeking, among other things, temporary injunctive relief from alleged further releases of chemicals from the Somerville plant, the temporary relocation of all class members and reimbursement for certain personal and relocation expenses. On October 26, 2007

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

Koppers filed a notice of removal removing this case from the District Court of Burleson County, Texas, to the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas, Austin Division.

Finally, Koppers Inc. has been named as a defendant in a lawsuit filed in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois, by approximately 150 current and former residents of Somerville who claim that they have developed personal injuries, illnesses and wrongful deaths as a result of exposure to chemicals and contaminants which they allege have emanated from the Somerville plant. Plaintiffs assert claims for negligence, trespass and willful and wanton conduct against the BNSF and Koppers, and claims for negligence and strict products liability against several manufacturers and suppliers of a wood preservative to the plant. Plaintiffs seek compensatory damages in excess of the court's jurisdictional limit and unspecified punitive damages and costs.

The Company has not provided a reserve for these matters because, at this time, it cannot reasonably determine the probability of a loss, and the amount of loss, if any, cannot be reasonably estimated. Although Koppers Inc. is vigorously defending these cases, an unfavorable resolution of these matters may have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition, cash flows and results of operations.

Grenada—All Cases. Koppers Inc., together with various co-defendants (including Beazer East), has been named as a defendant in toxic tort lawsuits in state court in Mississippi (see "Grenada—State Court Cases" below) and in federal court in Mississippi (see "Grenada—Federal Court Cases" below) arising from the operation of the Grenada facility. The complaints allege that plaintiffs were exposed to harmful levels of various toxic chemicals, including creosote, pentachlorophenol, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and dioxin, as a result of soil, surface water and groundwater contamination and air emissions from the Grenada facility and, in some cases, from an adjacent manufacturing facility operated by Heatcraft, Inc. Based on the experience of Koppers Inc. in defending previous toxic tort cases, the Company does not believe that the damages sought by the plaintiffs in the state and federal court cases are supported by the facts of the cases. Other than for cases in which a verdict has been rendered (see "Grenada—Federal Court Cases" below), the Company has not provided a reserve for these lawsuits because, at this time, it cannot reasonably determine the probability of a loss, and the amount of loss, if any, cannot be reasonably estimated. Although Koppers Inc. intends to vigorously defend these cases, there can be no assurance that an unfavorable resolution of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition, cash flows and results of operations. See "Environmental and Other Liabilities Retained or Assumed by Others" for additional information.

Grenada—Federal Court Cases.

Beck Case—The complaint in this case was originally filed by approximately 110 plaintiffs. Pursuant to an order granting defendants' motion to sever, the court dismissed the claims of 98 plaintiffs in the Beck case without prejudice to their right to re-file their complaints. In December 2005, 94 of the 98 plaintiffs in the Beck case whose claims were dismissed re-filed their complaints. The plaintiffs in the 94 cases that were re-filed seek compensatory damages from the defendants of at least \$5.0 million for each of eight counts and punitive damages of at least \$10.0 million for each of three counts (in addition to damages for an unspecified amount for alleged trespass and nuisance). No discovery orders have been issued with respect to the 94 additional cases. The claims of the 12 plaintiffs whose claims were not dismissed are still pending. The 12 remaining plaintiffs seek compensatory damages from the defendants in an unspecified amount and punitive damages of \$20.0 million for each of four counts. The court ordered that the claims of the 12 remaining Beck plaintiffs must be tried separately.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

The first of these trials commenced on April 17, 2006, and the jury returned a verdict of 20 percent of \$845,000 against Koppers Inc. for compensatory damages and no liability for punitive damages. Subsequent to the verdict, the court reduced the compensatory damages judgment by \$60,000 to \$785,000. The Company accrued its portion of the verdict in the first quarter of 2006. Koppers Inc. has appealed the judgment entered against it to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit. Koppers Inc. filed its brief with the Court of Appeals in February 2007. The Court of Appeals has not scheduled oral argument or reached a decision on the appeal. The remaining 11 trials have been stayed pending the appeal by Koppers Inc. of the judgment entered in the first case.

Ellis Case—There are approximately 1,180 plaintiffs in this case. Each plaintiff seeks compensatory damages from the defendants of at least \$5.0 million for each of seven counts and punitive damages of at least \$10.0 million for each of three counts (in addition to damages for an unspecified amount for trespass and nuisance). The Ellis complaint also requests injunctive relief. These cases have been stayed pending the completion of the trials for the 12 plaintiffs in the Beck case.

Grenada—State Court Cases. The state court cases were brought on behalf of 214 plaintiffs in five counties in Mississippi. Each plaintiff seeks compensatory damages from the defendants of at least \$5.0 million for each of up to eight counts and punitive damages of at least \$10.0 million for each of three counts. Certain plaintiffs also seek damages for alleged trespass and private nuisance in unspecified amounts together with injunctive relief. The Mississippi Supreme Court ordered that the plaintiffs in the pending state court cases filed in counties other than Grenada County (110 cases), with the appropriate venue being Grenada County. Plaintiffs' counsel has commenced the process to transfer ten such cases to Grenada County. Those cases are now the subject of motions to dismiss, on the ground that the Mississippi Supreme Court has since ruled that such cases should be dismissed and refiled individually, not simply transferred. Motions to dismiss the remaining plaintiffs in the four non-Grenada County cases are pending. Until the resolution of the motions to dismiss, discovery in the four non-Grenada County cases will remain stayed.

With respect to the state court case that was originally filed in Grenada County, the court granted the defendants' motion to sever the claims of these plaintiffs for improper joinder. These plaintiffs then filed 104 individual complaints in Grenada County. Of these, 15 have been dismissed to date. Motions for summary judgment on behalf of defendants based on the Mississippi statute of limitations have been filed in 60 of the remaining cases. Motions to dismiss are pending in 11 additional cases for want of prosecution or failure to comply with court orders. Discovery is proceeding in the remaining cases in the Grenada County litigation.

Legal Reserves Rollforward. The following table reflects changes in the accrued liability for legal proceedings:

	September 30, 2007 (Dollars in million:	December 31, 2006		
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 1.2	\$	3.1	
Expense	0.8		8.0	
Reversal of reserves	(0.1)		_	
Cash expenditures	(0.9)		(2.6)	
Business divestiture	(0.5)			
Currency translation	0.1		(0.1)	
Balance at end at end of period	\$ 0.6	\$	1.2	

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

Expense accruals and cash expenditures in 2007 primarily relate to the New Zealand Commerce Commission ("NZCC") matter and the business divestiture relates to Koppers Arch (Note 6). Expense for 2006 consists primarily of the amount of the verdict rendered against Koppers Inc. in May 2006 related to the Grenada litigation and other costs related to the NZCC matter. Cash expenditures for 2006 consisted of the Company's penalty related to the NZCC matter.

Environmental and Other Litigation Matters

The Company is subject to federal, state, local and foreign laws and regulations and potential liabilities relating to the protection of the environment and human health and safety including, among other things, the cleanup of contaminated sites, the treatment, storage and disposal of wastes, the discharge of effluent into waterways, the emission of substances into the air and various health and safety matters. The Company's subsidiaries expect to incur substantial costs for ongoing compliance with such laws and regulations. The Company's subsidiaries may also face governmental or third-party claims, or otherwise incur costs, relating to cleanup of, or for injuries resulting from, contamination at sites associated with past and present operations. The Company accrues for environmental liabilities when a determination can be made that they are probable and reasonably estimable.

Environmental and Other Liabilities Retained or Assumed by Others. The Company has agreements with former owners of certain of its operating locations under which the former owners retained, assumed and/or agreed to indemnify the Company against certain environmental and other liabilities. The most significant of these agreements was entered into at Koppers Inc.'s formation on December 29, 1988 (the "Acquisition"). Under the related asset purchase agreement between the Company and Beazer East, subject to certain limitations, Beazer East retained the responsibility for and agreed to indemnify the Company against certain liabilities, damages, losses and costs, including, with certain limited exceptions, liabilities under and costs to comply with environmental laws to the extent attributable to acts or omissions occurring prior to the Acquisition and liabilities related to products sold by Beazer East prior to the Acquisition (the "Indemnity"). Beazer Limited unconditionally guaranteed Beazer East's performance of the Indemnity pursuant to a guarantee (the "Guarantee"). Beazer Limited became a wholly owned indirect subsidiary of Hanson PLC in 1991. In 1998, Hanson PLC purchased an insurance policy under which the funding and risk of certain environmental and other liabilities relating to the former Koppers Company, Inc. operations of Beazer East (which includes locations purchased from Beazer East by the Company) are underwritten by Centre Solutions (a member of the Zurich Group) and Swiss Re. On May 15, 2007, Hanson PLC announced that they had reached an agreement to be acquired by HeidelbergCement AG and subsequently, the shareholders of Hanson PLC approved the transaction on July 31, 2007.

The Indemnity provides different mechanisms, subject to certain limitations, by which Beazer East is obligated to indemnify Koppers Inc. with regard to certain environmental, product and other liabilities and imposes certain conditions on Koppers Inc. before receiving such indemnification, including, in some cases, certain limitations regarding the time period as to which claims for indemnification can be brought. In July 2004, Koppers Inc. and Beazer East agreed to amend the environmental indemnification provisions of the December 29, 1988 asset purchase agreement to extend the indemnification period for pre-closing environmental liabilities through July 2019. As consideration for the amendment, Koppers Inc. paid Beazer East a total of \$7.0 million and agreed to share toxic tort litigation defense costs arising from any sites acquired from Beazer East. The July 2004 amendment did not change the provisions of the Indemnity with respect to indemnification for non-environmental claims, such as product liability claims, which claims may continue to be asserted after July 2019.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

Qualified expenditures under the Indemnity are not subject to a monetary limit. Qualified expenditures under the Indemnity include (i) environmental cleanup liabilities required by third parties, such as investigation, remediation and closure costs, relating to pre-December 29, 1988, or Pre-Closing, acts or omissions of Beazer East or its predecessors, (ii) environmental claims by third parties for personal injuries, property damages and natural resources damages relating to Pre-Closing acts or omissions of Beazer East or its predecessors, (iii) punitive damages for the acts or omissions of Beazer East and its predecessors without regard to the date of the alleged conduct and (iv) product liability claims for products sold by Beazer East or its predecessors without regard to the date of the alleged conduct. If the third party claims described in sections (i) and (ii) above are not made by July 2019, Beazer East will not be required to pay the costs arising from such claims under the Indemnity. However, with respect to any such claims which are made by July 2019, Beazer East will continue to be responsible for such claims under the Indemnity beyond July 2019. The Indemnity provides for the resolution of issues between Koppers Inc. and Beazer East by an arbitrator on an expedited basis upon the request of either party. The arbitrator could be asked, among other things, to make a determination regarding the allocation of environmental responsibilities between Koppers Inc. and Beazer East. Arbitration decisions under the Indemnity are final and binding on the parties.

Contamination has been identified at most of the Company's manufacturing and other sites. Three sites currently owned and operated by the Company in the United States are listed on the National Priorities List promulgated under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended ("CERCLA"). Currently, at the properties acquired from Beazer East (which include all of the National Priorities List sites and all but one of the sites permitted under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act ("RCRA")), substantially all investigative, cleanup and closure activities are being conducted and paid for by Beazer East pursuant to the terms of the Indemnity. In addition, other of the Company's sites are or have been operated under RCRA and various other environmental permits, and remedial and closure activities are being conducted at some of these sites.

To date, the parties that retained, assumed and/or agreed to indemnify the Company against the liabilities referred to above, including Beazer East, have performed their obligations in all material respects. The Company believes that, for the last three years, amounts paid by Beazer East as a result of its environmental remediation obligations under the Indemnity have averaged in total approximately \$15.2 million per year. Periodically, issues have arisen between Koppers Inc. and Beazer East and/or other indemnitors that have been resolved without arbitration. Koppers Inc. and Beazer East are currently in discussions that involve, among other things, the allocation of environmental costs related to certain operating and closed facilities.

If for any reason (including disputed coverage or financial incapability) one or more of such parties fail to perform their obligations and the Company is held liable for or otherwise required to pay all or part of such liabilities without reimbursement, the imposition of such liabilities on the Company could have a material adverse effect on its business, financial condition, cash flows and results of operations. Furthermore, the Company could be required to record a contingent liability on its balance sheets with respect to such matters, which could result in its having significant additional negative net worth.

Domestic Environmental Matters. Koppers Inc. was named as a potentially responsible party (a "PRP") at a CERCLA Superfund site in Amelia, Louisiana in May 2007. A PRP group has been formed, however, the Company's cost sharing responsibility, if any, has not been determined. Due to the preliminary stage of Koppers Inc.'s involvement in the site, the Company has not provided a reserve for this matter because at this time it cannot reasonably estimate the amount of loss, if any.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

Koppers Inc. was named as a PRP at a CERCLA Superfund site in Calvert City, Kentucky in November 2006 and has subsequently joined the PRP group. The Company's cost sharing responsibility as a member of the PRP group is currently calculated at less than two percent, but is subject to adjustment based on a final allocation agreement to be negotiated among the PRP's. Due to the preliminary stage of the PRP group's involvement in the site and the assessment of the contamination remediation effort, the Company has not provided a reserve for this matter because at this time it cannot reasonably estimate the amount of loss, if any.

The Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (the "IEPA") has requested that Koppers Inc. conduct a voluntary investigation of soil and groundwater at its Stickney, Illinois carbon materials and chemicals facility. The IEPA advised Koppers Inc. that it made such request as a result of a reported release of oil-like material from Koppers Inc.'s property into an adjacent river canal. Koppers Inc. conducted such investigation in cooperation with Beazer East. The results of the site investigation were received in the fourth quarter of 2006 and were reviewed with IEPA in July 2007. The Company and Beazer East have agreed to enter into the Illinois Voluntary Remediation Program in relation to the owned portion of this site. Remediation on a leased portion of this site is still under discussion. The Company and Beazer East have engaged consultants to assist the Company in preparing a remediation strategy and an estimate of potential costs. The Company has not provided a reserve for this matter because at this time it cannot reasonably estimate the cost of the remediation activities.

In October 1996, Koppers Inc. received a Clean Water Act (CWA) information request from the EPA. This information request asked for comprehensive information on discharge permits, applications for discharge permits, discharge monitoring reports and the analytical data in support of the reports and applications. The EPA alleged that Koppers Inc. violated various provisions of the CWA. Koppers Inc. subsequently entered into a Consent Decree and agreed, among other things, to a \$2.9 million settlement payment. In 2005 Koppers Inc. filed to terminate the Consent Decree at which time the EPA informed Koppers Inc. that it will seek civil penalties for any CWA violations from 2001 to 2005 concurrent with the termination of the Consent Decree. Koppers Inc. and the EPA have agreed to a settlement of \$0.5 million for such civil penalties and the Company has provided a reserve for the amount of the settlement.

In August 2005, Koppers Inc. received a CWA information request from Region 4 of the EPA. Region 4 encompasses six of Koppers Inc.'s facilities, of which four are currently operating. This information request asked for comprehensive information on discharge permits, applications for discharge permits, discharge monitoring reports and the analytical data in support of the reports and applications as well as engineering studies and a limited number of specific inspection records. Koppers Inc. subsequently provided the EPA with certain information to assist it in its review. In December 2006, Koppers Inc. received information from Region 4 regarding alleged violations by Koppers Inc. The Company will settle this matter in connection with the CWA information request discussed above.

In May 2007, Koppers Inc. received a separate information request from Region 4 of the EPA on all releases of hazardous materials from its facilities in Region 4 for a five-year period. Koppers Inc. has provided the requested information.

In August 2005, the Pennsylvania Department of Environment Protection (the "PADEP") proposed a fine related to alleged water discharge exceedances from a storm water sewer pipe at the Company's tar distillation facility in Clairton, Pennsylvania. In December 2006, the Company reached a

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

preliminary settlement of the fine with PADEP for \$0.5 million, subject to the execution of a consent order that the Company is currently negotiating with the PADEP. Accordingly, the Company has reserved the amount of the settlement. The Company has also proposed to undertake certain engineering and capital improvements at a cost of approximately \$1.5 million to address this matter. The consent order will likely provide for stipulated penalties for any additional exceedances that occur between the date of the execution of the consent order and the date of completion of such improvements.

In June 2007, Koppers Inc. and the EPA's Office of Suspension and Disbarment reached a tentative agreement to an 18-month extension to Koppers Inc.'s compliance agreement related to violations at Koppers Inc.'s Woodward Coke facility prior to its closure in January 1998. The extended compliance agreement is expected to expire in January 2009. A failure on the Company's part to comply with the terms of the compliance agreement could lead to significant costs and sanctions, including the potential for suspension or debarment from governmental contracts. A suspension or debarment from government contracts would have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition, cash flows and results of operations.

Australasian Environmental Matters. Soil and groundwater contamination has been detected at certain of the Company's Australasian facilities. At the Company's tar distillation facility in Newcastle, New South Wales, Australia, soil contamination from an abandoned underground coal tar pipeline and other groundwater contamination has been detected at a property adjacent to the facility. In December 2006 the Company and the owner of the adjacent property reached an agreement in principle pursuant to which the Company will contribute \$1.9 million and the owner of the adjacent property will contribute \$5.9 million toward remediation of the property. Subject to the approval of a remediation action plan by local environmental authorities, the Company will assume responsibility for the management of the remediation effort and will indemnify the current owner for any remediation costs in excess of its agreed contribution. At the completion of the remediation, the property will transfer to the Company. The Company has reserved its expected total remediation costs of \$1.9 million at September 30, 2007.

Other Australasian environmental matters include soil and groundwater remediation at two former wood products facilities in Australia which are being prepared for marketing and future sale. With respect to the first facility in Hume, Australia, the soil remediation is substantially complete. In the fourth quarter of 2006, a Phase II environmental assessment was completed that indicated estimated groundwater remediation costs of between \$0.7 million and \$2.2 million. The Company is currently working with local environmental authorities to determine the preferred method of remediation.

With respect to the second facility in Thornton, Australia, an environmental assessment was completed in the second quarter of 2007 which indicated areas of soil contamination which the Company may remediate in preparation for sale. The estimated soil remediation costs range between \$1.7 million and \$3.4 million. The Company has reserved approximately \$2.7 million for remediation costs at these sites which represents its best estimate of groundwater and remaining soil remediation.

Soil and groundwater contamination has been detected at the Company's facility in Kurnell, Australia. Contaminated soil has been delineated and options for treatment or disposal are being reviewed. Additional work is being done to further delineate contamination in shallow groundwater. The Company has reserved \$0.6 million for remediation at this site.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

Environmental Reserves Rollforward. The following table reflects changes in the accrued liability for environmental matters:

	September 30, 2007		December 31, 2006
		(Dollars	in millions)
Balance at beginning of period	\$	5.6	\$ 3.8
Expense		3.6	3.7
Reversal of reserves		_	(0.5
Cash expenditures		(0.6)	(1.8
Business divestiture		(0.2)	_
Currency translation		0.5	0.4
Balance at end at end of period	\$	8.9	\$ 5.6

Expense for 2007 consisted primarily of accruals for closed facilities remediation in the U.S. and Australia, estimated remediation costs at the Newcastle tar distillation facility and soil remediation at a site in Australia. Expense for 2006 consisted primarily of accruals of \$1.2 million for soil and groundwater remediation at the Company's former wood products facility in Hume, Australia, \$0.6 million for estimated settlement costs related to the Company's Clairton facility, \$0.4 million for CWA assessments, \$0.4 million for waste material disposal at certain Koppers Arch facilities in Australia and New Zealand and \$0.4 million for soil disposal costs at the Company's facility in Port Clarence, UK. Reversals of reserves for 2006 included \$0.4 million for estimated settlement costs related to the Company's Clairton facility.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Certain sections of "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" include forward-looking statements concerning trends or events potentially affecting the businesses of Koppers. These statements typically contain words such as "believes", "anticipates", "expects", "estimates", "may", "will", "should", "continue", "plans", "intends", "likely", or other similar words indicating that future outcomes are uncertain. In accordance with the "safe harbor" provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, these statements are accompanied by cautionary language identifying important factors, although not necessarily all factors, which would cause future outcomes to differ materially from those set forth in the forward-looking statements. For additional risk factors affecting our business, see Item 1A. "Risk Factors" in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.

The following discussion and analysis of the Company's financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with the unaudited financial statements and related notes included in Item 1 of this Part I as well as the Company's audited consolidated financial statements and the related notes included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Overview

Koppers is a leading integrated global provider of carbon compounds and commercial wood treatment products. The Company's products are used in a variety of niche applications in a diverse range of end-markets, including the aluminum, railroad, specialty chemical, utility, rubber and steel industries. Koppers serves its customers through a comprehensive global manufacturing and distribution network, which includes manufacturing facilities located in the United States, Australia, China, the United Kingdom and Denmark.

The Company operates two principal businesses, **Carbon Materials & Chemicals ("CM&C")** and **Railroad & Utility Products ("R&UP")**. Through the Company's Carbon Materials & Chemicals business, Koppers believes it is the largest distiller of coal tar in North America, Australia, the United Kingdom and Scandinavia. The Company processes coal tar into a variety of products, including carbon pitch, creosote and phthalic anhydride, which are critical intermediate materials in the production of aluminum, the pressure treatment of wood and the production of plasticizers and specialty chemicals, respectively. Through its Railroad & Utility Products business, Koppers is the largest North American supplier of railroad crossties. The Company's other commercial wood treatment products include the provision of utility poles to the electric and telephone utility industries.

Outlook

Seasonality and Effects of Weather on Operations

The Company's quarterly operating results fluctuate due to a variety of factors that are outside its control, including inclement weather conditions, which in the past have affected operating results. Operations at several facilities have been halted for short periods of time during the winter months. Moreover, demand for some of the Company's products declines during periods of inclement weather. As a result of the foregoing, the Company anticipates that it may experience material fluctuations in quarterly operating results. Historically, the Company's operating results can be significantly lower in the fourth and first calendar quarters as compared to the second and third calendar quarters. The Company expects this seasonality trend to continue in future periods.

Results of Operations - Comparison of Three Months Ended September 30, 2007 and September 30, 2006

Consolidated Results

Net sales for the three months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 are summarized by segment in the following table:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		
	2007	2006	Net Change
	(Dollars in	millions)	
Carbon Materials & Chemicals	\$223.0	\$190.7	+17%
Railroad & Utility Products	_124.1	110.8	+12%
	\$347.1	\$301.5	+15%

CM&C net sales increased by \$32.3 million or 17 percent due to the following changes in volume, pricing and foreign exchange:

	Price	Volume	Foreign Exchange	Net Change
Carbon Materials (a)	+8%	+1%	+2%	+11%
Distillates (b)	+1%	— %	— %	+1%
Coal Tar Chemicals (c)	— %	+2%	+1%	+3%
Other (d)	— %	— %	+2%	+2%
Total CM&C	+9%	+3%	+5%	+17%

- Includes carbon pitch and refined tar.
- Includes creosote and carbon black feedstock.
- Includes naphthalene and phthalic anhydride.
 Includes carbon black, furnace coke, benzole, freight and other products.

Carbon materials' prices increased in the U.S., Europe and Australasia as customer prices for carbon pitch and refined tar have increased in response to substantially higher raw material costs. Refined tar volume increases contributed sales growth of one percent while worldwide carbon pitch volumes remained flat. Overall, distillate pricing improved due to higher prices for creosote in the U.S. of one percent. For coal tar chemicals, increases in U.S. phthalic anhydride volumes of one percent and increases in worldwide naphthalene volumes of one percent resulted in a sales increase of two percent. Changes in foreign exchange rates between the U.S. dollar and Australian and European currencies resulted in higher sales of five percent across all product lines.

R&UP net sales increased by \$13.3 million or 12 percent due to the following changes in volume and pricing:

	Price	<u>Volume</u>	Foreign Exchange	Net Change
Railroad Crossties (a)	+1%	-1%	- %	— %
TSO Crossties (b)	+1%	+1%	— %	+2%
Distribution Poles	+1%	+1%	+1%	+3%
Other (c)	<u>+6</u> %	<u>+1</u> %	%	<u>+7</u> %
Total R&UP	+9%	+2%	+1%	+12%

Includes treated and untreated railroad crossties.

Includes sales from treatment services only ("TSO").
Includes transmission poles, pilings, creosote, freight and other treated and untreated lumber products

Sales price increases of treated railroad crossties, TSO and distribution poles totaled one percent each in the guarter ended September 30, 2007. Higher raw material costs contributed to the pricing increases realized in these products. The price increase in other products is due primarily to higher creosote prices totaling four percent as a result of higher raw material costs and the volume increase is due primarily to creosote totaling one percent.

Cost of sales as a percentage of net sales was 82 percent for the guarter ended September 30, 2007 and is unchanged from the guarter ended September 30, 2006. Overall, cost of sales increased by \$35.7 million when compared to the prior year period due primarily to higher raw material costs and foreign exchange.

Depreciation and amortization for the quarter ended September 30, 2007 was \$7.8 million as compared to \$8.2 million for the quarter ended September 30, 2006, a decrease of \$0.4 million.

Selling, general and administrative expenses for the guarter ended September 30, 2007 were \$2.1 million higher when compared to the prior year period due primarily to increased costs related to management incentive, stock-based compensation programs and investment in sales and administrative functions in China.

Interest expense for the quarter ended September 30, 2007 was \$0.3 million lower when compared to the prior year period due primarily to lower average debt levels over the quarterly period as a result of the reduction in debt related to the disposition of Koppers Arch Investments Pty Limited and its subsidiaries ("Koppers Arch").

Income taxes for the quarter ended September 30, 2007 were \$2.4 million higher when compared to the prior year period due primarily to the increase in pretax income of \$8.5 million which was partially offset by a decrease in the effective income tax rate. The Company's effective income tax rate for the quarter ended September 30, 2007 was 33.2 percent as compared to the prior year period of 34.7 percent. The decrease in the effective tax rate is due to the favorable impact from the mix of estimated earnings between the U.S. and Australia and the ability to claim the domestic production activities deduction, partially offset by a relatively smaller non-conventional fuel tax credit in the current year period.

Gain on sale of Koppers Arch for the quarter ended September 30, 2007 represents the gain, net of tax expense, from the Company's sale of its 51 percent interest in Koppers Arch to Arch Chemicals on July 5, 2007. Effective as of this date, Koppers Arch was classified as a discontinued operation in the Company's statement of operations.

Segment Results

Segment operating profit for the three months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 are summarized by segment in the following table:

Three Months

	Ended September 30,		
	2007	2006	% Change
	(Dollars in	millions)	
Operating profit:			
Carbon Materials & Chemicals	\$30.5	\$ 22.8	+34%
Railroad & Utility Products	9.9	9.4	+5%
Corporate	(0.2)	(0.2)	%
	\$40.2	\$ 32.0	+26%
Operating profit as a percentage of net sales:			
Carbon Materials & Chemicals	13.7%	12.0%	+1.7%
Railroad & Utility Products	8.0%	8.5%	-0.5%
	11.6%	10.6%	+1.0%

Carbon Materials & Chemicals net sales and operating profit by geographic region for the three months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 is summarized in the following table:

		Three months ended September 30,		
	2007	2006	% Change	
Net sales:	(Dollar	rs in millions)		
North America	\$116.9	\$ 99.6	+17%	
Australasia including China	49.3	42.9	+15%	
Europe	56.8	48.2	+18%	
	\$223.0	\$190.7	+17%	
Operating profit:				
North America	\$ 19.2	\$ 15.8	+22%	
Australasia including China	7.6	4.7	+62%	
Europe	3.7	2.3	+61%	
	\$ 30.5	\$ 22.8	+34%	
				

North American CM&C sales increased \$17.3 million due primarily to higher prices for carbon pitch, refined tar and furnace coke totaling \$13.1 million. Operating profit as a percentage of net sales increased to 16.4 percent as compared to 15.9 percent between periods as a result of higher prices discussed above and higher volumes of carbon pitch, refined tar and phthalic anhydride.

Australasian CM&C sales increased \$6.4 million due primarily to changes in U.S. and Australian currency exchange rates between periods totaling \$4.8 million. Operating profit as a percentage of net sales increased to 15.4 percent from 11.0 percent between periods due to higher carbon pitch prices and higher carbon black volumes.

European CM&C sales increased \$8.6 million due primarily to movements in currency exchange rates between periods totaling \$4.6 million. In addition, higher prices for carbon pitch totaling \$2.3 million and higher volumes of naphthalene totaling \$1.9 million contributed additional sales. Operating profit as a percentage of net sales increased to 6.5 percent from 4.8 percent due to the factors cited above.

Railroad & Utility Products operating profit for the quarter ended September 30, 2007 increased nominally by \$0.5 million as compared to the prior period. Operating profit as a percentage of net sales decreased slightly to 8.0 percent from 8.5 percent between periods due primarily to environmental remediation charges recorded in the third quarter of 2007 totaling \$1.8 million.

Results of Operations - Comparison of Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007 and September 30, 2006 **Consolidated Results**

Net sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 are summarized by segment in the following table:

		Nine iv		
	September 30,			
	<u> </u>	2007	2006	Net Change
	(Dollars in millions)			
Carbon Materials & Chemicals	\$	631.9	\$504.5	+25%
Railroad & Utility Products		369.2	334.3	+10%
	\$1	,001.1	\$838.8	+19%

CM&C net sales increased by \$127.4 million or 25 percent due to the following changes in volume, pricing and foreign exchange:

	Price	<u>Volume</u>	Foreign <u>Exchange</u>	Net <u>Change</u>
Carbon Materials (a)	+8%	+5%	+2%	+15%
Distillates (b)	— %	+2%	+1%	+3%
Coal Tar Chemicals (c)	+2%	+2%	— %	+4%
Other (d)	<u>+2</u> %	<u>-1</u> %	<u>+2</u> %	<u>+3</u> %
Total CM&C	+12%	+8%	+5%	+25%

Includes carbon pitch and refined tar

Carbon materials' prices increased in the U.S., Europe and Australasia as customer prices have been renegotiated in response to substantially higher raw material costs. The increase in carbon materials sales volume is due partially to the acquisition of certain imported carbon pitch supply contracts from Reilly Industries Inc. ("Reilly acquisition") in April 2006 in addition to acquired domestic sales contracts related to the Reilly acquisition. These acquired import and domestic contracts resulted in a total sales volume increase for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 of three percent.

Overall, distillate pricing was flat for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 as increases in creosote prices in the U.S. were largely offset by decreases in carbon black feedstock prices in Europe and Australia. The increase in distillate sales volume is due primarily to higher Australasian and European carbon black feedstock sales and higher U.S. creosote sales of two percent in total.

For coal tar chemicals, increases in worldwide naphthalene prices and U.S. phthalic anhydride prices resulted in a sales increase totaling two percent. Volume increases from naphthalene of two percent were partially offset by decreases in volume from phthalic anhydride sales. With respect to

⁽b) Includes creosote and carbon black feedstock

Includes naphthalene and phthalic anhydride. Includes carbon black, furnace coke, benzole, freight and other products.

other products, furnace coke realized higher pricing totaling one percent as compared to the prior year. Changes in foreign exchange rates between the U.S. dollar and Australian and European currencies resulted in higher sales of five percent across all product lines.

R&UP net sales increased by \$34.9 million or 10 percent due to the following changes in volume and pricing:

	Price	Volume	Net Change
Railroad Crossties (a)	+2%	 %	+2%
TSO Crossties (b)	+2%	+1%	+3%
Distribution Poles	+2%	-1%	+1%
Other (c)	<u>+5</u> %	<u>-1</u> %	+4%
Total R&UP	+11%	-1%	+10%

- Includes treated and untreated railroad crossties
- Includes sales from treatment services only ("TSO"). Includes transmission poles, pilings, creosote, freight and other treated and untreated lumber products.

Sales price increases of treated railroad crossties totaled two percent for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. Volume increases in treated railroad crossties of two percent were offset by volume decreases in untreated railroad crossties of the same percentage. The price increase in other products is due primarily to higher creosote prices totaling four percent. Higher raw material costs contributed to the pricing increases realized in treated railroad crossties and creosote.

Cost of sales as a percentage of net sales was 82 percent for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 as compared to 84 percent for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. Overall, cost of sales increased by \$114.7 million when compared to the prior year period due primarily to higher raw material volumes from the Reilly acquisition, higher raw material costs and foreign exchange.

Depreciation and amortization for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 was \$0.1 million higher when compared to the prior year period.

Selling, general and administrative expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 were \$2.7 million higher when compared to the prior year period due primarily to increased costs related to management incentive, stock-based compensation programs, and investment in sales and administrative functions in China. Partially offsetting this increase was a one-time expense totaling \$3.0 million for the termination of the Saratoga Partners III. L.P. ("Saratoga") advisory services contract recognized in the nine months ended September 30, 2006.

Interest expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 was \$15.1 million lower when compared to the prior year period due primarily to costs incurred in the nine months ended September 30, 2006 related to the Company's initial public offering. The proceeds from the offering were used to redeem \$101.7 million of the 97/8% Senior Secured Notes due 2013 (the "Senior Secured Notes") which resulted in expenses totaling \$14.4 million for call premiums, bond consent fees and the write-off of deferred financing costs.

Income taxes for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 were \$18.2 million higher when compared to the prior year period due primarily to the increase in pretax income of \$59.2 million which was partially offset by a decrease in the Company's effective tax rate. The Company's effective income

tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 was 31.9 percent as compared to the prior year period of 35.2 percent. The decrease in the effective tax rate is due primarily to the favorable impact from the mix of estimated earnings between the U.S. and Australia and the ability to claim the domestic production activities deduction, partially offset by a relatively smaller (in proportion to pretax book income) non-conventional fuel tax credit in the current year period.

Gain on sale of Koppers Arch for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 represents the gain, net of tax expense, from the Company's sale of its 51 percent interest in Koppers Arch to Arch Chemicals on July 5, 2007. Effective as of this date, Koppers Arch was classified as a discontinued operation in the Company's statement of operations.

Segment Results

Segment operating profit for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 is summarized by segment in the following table:

	Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2007	2006	% Change
	(Dollars in n	nillions)	
Operating profit:			
Carbon Materials & Chemicals	\$ 79.1	\$ 48.4	+63%
Railroad & Utility Products	35.6	20.4	+75%
Corporate	(1.4)	(0.3)	<u>+367</u> %
	\$ 113.3	\$ 68.5	+65%
Operating profit as a percentage of net sales:		<u> </u>	
Carbon Materials & Chemicals	12.5%	9.6%	+2.9%
Railroad & Utility Products	<u>9.6</u> %	6.1%	+3.5%
	11.3%	8.2%	+3.1%

Carbon Materials & Chemicals net sales and operating profit by geographic region for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 is summarized in the following table:

	Nine months ended September 30.			
	2007	2006	% Change	
	(Dollai	s in millions)		
Net sales:				
North America	\$ 327.6	\$ 263.0	+25%	
Australasia including China	140.0	106.3	+32%	
Europe	164.3	135.2	+22%	
	\$ 631.9	\$ 504.5	+25%	
Operating profit:				
North America	\$ 48.9	\$ 27.8	+76%	
Australasia including China	21.0	12.9	+63%	
Europe	9.2	7.7	<u>+19</u> %	
	\$ 79.1	\$ 48.4	+63%	

North American CM&C sales increased \$64.6 million due primarily to incremental volumes of carbon pitch, refined tar and creosote sales related to sales contracts assumed in the Reilly acquisition

of \$23.0 million. In addition improved prices for carbon pitch, refined tar, creosote, phthalic anhydride and furnace coke contributed increased sales of \$40.9 million. Operating profit as a percentage of net sales increased to 14.9 percent from 10.6 percent between periods reflecting an increase in pricing for carbon materials, creosote, furnace coke and phthalic anhydride due primarily to higher raw material costs and realized operating efficiencies related to the Reilly acquisition.

Australasian CM&C sales increased \$33.7 million due primarily to higher prices for carbon pitch and naphthalene totaling \$8.3 million and higher volumes for carbon pitch, naphthalene and carbon black feedstock totaling \$12.1 million. In addition, changes in foreign exchange rates contributed \$11.9 million of increased sales. Operating profit as a percentage of net sales increased to 15.0 percent from 12.1 percent between periods reflecting an increase in pricing for carbon pitch and carbon black feedstock due primarily to higher raw material costs.

European CM&C sales increased \$29.1 million due primarily to higher prices for naphthalene and carbon pitch totaling \$12.1 million. In addition, changes in foreign exchange rates contributed \$13.2 million of increased sales. Operating profit as a percentage of net sales decreased slightly to 5.6 percent from 5.7 percent between periods.

Railroad & Utility Products operating profit for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 increased by \$15.2 million as compared to the prior period. Operating profit as a percentage of net sales increased to 9.6 percent from 6.1 percent between periods due to higher prices for treated railroad crossties, crosstie treatment services and creosote in addition to the mix impact of higher volumes of treated railroad crossties. Operating profit was also negatively impacted for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 by R&UP's share of the Saratoga advisory services contract buyout expense of \$1.5 million in addition to the loss on the sale of the Company's specialty trackwork business and other plant closure costs totaling \$1.9 million.

Cash Flow

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$49.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 as compared to net cash provided by operating activities of \$24.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. The cash flow for the prior period included payments associated with the initial public offering totaling \$14.2 million for call premiums on the Senior Secured Notes, bond consent fees and the buyout of the Saratoga advisory services contract in addition to the payment of a legal settlement totaling \$2.6 million. Excluding these amounts, net cash flow from operating activities increased by \$7.8 million between periods due to improved net income partially offset by increased working capital requirements.

Net cash used by investing activities was \$7.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 as compared to \$61.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 which included \$45.1 million for the Reilly acquisition. Acquisition expenditures in 2007 of \$3.9 million relate to deferred purchase price payments for Lambson Speciality Chemicals Limited, which was acquired in 2005. Net cash proceeds from divestitures and asset sales of \$12.0 million in 2007 primarily represents the cash proceeds, net of cash transferred to the acquirer, of Koppers Arch. Capital expenditures in 2007 are expected to total approximately \$30.0 million, including expenditures for the Company's 30 percent interest in the new coal tar distillation joint venture in China but excluding acquisitions.

Net cash used by financing activities was \$46.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 as compared to net cash provided by financing activities of \$39.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. The cash flow for the prior period included the net proceeds from the Company's initial public offering of \$112.4 million partially offset by the redemption of the Senior Secured Notes of \$101.7 million. Additionally, term loan borrowings of \$50.0 million under

Koppers Inc.'s senior secured credit facility were used to provide for the Reilly acquisition and payment of other amounts related to the initial public offering. Net repayments of debt totaled \$36.6 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2007 as a result of higher cash provided by operating activities and the proceeds from the sale of Koppers Arch.

Dividends paid were \$10.6 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2007 as compared to dividends paid of \$13.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. Dividends paid in the nine months ended September 30, 2007 reflect a quarterly dividend rate of 17 cents per common share. Dividends paid in the prior period include a special dividend declared prior to the Company's initial public offering of 69 cents per common share.

On November 7, 2007, the Company's board of directors declared a quarterly dividend of 17 cents per common share, payable on January 2, 2008 to shareholders of record as of November 19, 2007.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Restrictions on Dividends to Koppers Holdings

Koppers Holdings depends on the dividends from the earnings of Koppers Inc. and its subsidiaries to generate the funds necessary to meet its financial obligations, including payments of principal, interest and other amounts on the 9 7/8% Senior Discount Notes due 2014 (the "Senior Discount Notes"). The terms of Koppers Inc. 's senior secured credit facility as well as the terms of the indenture governing the Senior Secured Notes significantly restrict Koppers Inc. from paying dividends and otherwise transferring assets to Koppers Holdings. The amount of permitted dividends under both debt facilities is governed by a formula based on 50 percent of consolidated net income, among other things. Cash equity contributions from the sale of Koppers Holdings' common stock increase the amount available for dividends. At the time of the payment of the dividend, no event of default shall have occurred or be continuing under the indenture or the senior secured credit facility.

Under the indenture relating to the Senior Secured Notes, Koppers Inc. must have an EBITDA (as defined in the indenture) to consolidated interest expense ratio of at least 2.0 to 1.0. Additionally the senior secured credit facility requires compliance with all financial covenants and availability of at least \$15.0 million under the revolving credit facility after giving effect to any proposed dividend. Significant reductions in net income or increases to indebtedness affecting compliance with financial covenants or availability under the senior secured credit facility would restrict Koppers Inc.'s ability to pay dividends. As of September 30, 2007, dividends available to be declared based on covenant restrictions under the Senior Discount Notes amounted to \$139.8 million. As of September 30, 2007, dividends available to be declared based on covenant restrictions under the Senior Secured Notes amounted to \$132.3 million.

Liquidity

The Koppers Inc. senior secured credit facility agreement, as amended, provides for a revolving credit facility of up to \$125.0 million and term loans of \$34.6 million at variable rates. The senior secured credit facility expires in December 2009. Amounts outstanding under the senior secured credit agreement are secured by a first priority lien on substantially all of Koppers Inc.'s assets, including the assets of certain significant subsidiaries. Revolving credit availability is calculated based on receivables and inventory as well as the attainment of certain financial ratios. The revolving credit facility contains certain covenants that limit capital expenditures by Koppers Inc. and restrict its ability to incur additional indebtedness, create liens on its assets, enter into leases, pay dividends and make investments or acquisitions. In addition, such covenants give rise to events of default upon the failure by Koppers Inc. to meet certain financial ratios.

As of September 30, 2007, the Company had \$82.2 million of unused revolving credit availability for working capital purposes after restrictions by various debt covenants and certain letter of credit commitments. As of September 30, 2007, \$19.8 million of commitments were utilized by outstanding letters of credit. In addition, as of September 30, 2007, the Company had outstanding term loans of \$34.6 million under the credit facility.

The following table summarizes Koppers estimated liquidity as of September 30, 2007 (dollars in millions):

Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 20.6
Amount available under senior secured credit facility	82.2
Amount available under other credit facilities	10.8
Total estimated liquidity	\$ 113.6

The Company's estimated liquidity was \$85.6 million at December 31, 2006. The increase in estimated liquidity from that date is due primarily to an increase in availability under other credit facilities.

As of September 30, 2007, the Company has \$200.0 million aggregate amount of common stock, debt securities, preferred stock, depositary shares and warrants (or a combination of these securities) available to be issued under its \$200.0 million universal shelf registration statement filed in 2006.

The Company's needs for cash in the next twelve months relate primarily to contractual obligations which include debt service, purchase commitments and operating leases, as well as for working capital, capital maintenance, mandatory pension plan funding and potential acquisitions. The Company believes that its cash flow from operations and available borrowings under the senior secured credit facility will be sufficient to fund its anticipated liquidity requirements for at least the next twelve months. In the event that the foregoing sources are not sufficient to fund its expenditures and service our indebtedness, the Company would be required to raise additional funds.

Debt Covenants

The covenants that affect availability of the revolving credit facility and which may restrict the ability of Koppers Inc. to pay dividends include the following financial ratios:

- The fixed charge coverage ratio, calculated as of the end of each fiscal quarter for the four fiscal quarters then ended, shall not be less than 1.05 to 1.0. The fixed charge coverage ratio at September 30, 2007 was 2.67 to 1.0.
- The total leverage ratio, calculated as of the end of each fiscal quarter for the four fiscal quarters then ended, shall not exceed the
 ratios set forth below for the periods as specified in the table below. The total leverage ratio at September 30, 2007 was 1.87 to 1.0.

Fiscal Quarters Ended	Ratio
August 15, 2005 through September 30, 2008	5.0 to 1.0
September 30, 2008 and thereafter	4.5 to 1.0

The Company is currently in compliance with all covenants in the credit agreement governing the senior secured revolving credit facility.

At September 30, 2007, Koppers Inc. had \$218.3 million outstanding of Senior Secured Notes (excluding adjustment for related interest rate swap) and Koppers Holdings had \$165.4 million

outstanding of Senior Discount Notes. The Senior Secured Notes and Senior Discount Notes include customary covenants that restrict, among other things, the ability to incur additional debt, pay dividends or make certain other restricted payments, incur liens, merge or sell all or substantially all of the assets or enter into various transactions with affiliates. The Company is currently in compliance with all covenants in the indentures governing the Senior Secured Notes and the Senior Discount Notes.

Legal Matters

The information set forth in Note 18 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements of Koppers Holdings Inc. included in Item 1 of Part I is incorporated herein by reference.

Recently Issued Accounting Guidance

The information set forth in Note 2 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements of Koppers Holdings Inc. included in Item 1 of Part I is hereby incorporated by reference.

Critical Accounting Policies

There have been no material changes to the Company's critical accounting policies as disclosed in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Environmental and Other Matters

The information set forth in Note 18 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements of Koppers Holdings Inc. included in Item 1 of Part I is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

There are no material changes to the disclosure on this matter made in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

As of September 30, 2007 an evaluation was performed under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures. Based on that evaluation, the Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of September 30, 2007 to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports filed or submitted by it under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms, and to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by the Company in such reports is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including its principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. There was no change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that occurred during the quarter ended September 30, 2007 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

PART II—OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The information set forth in Note 18 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements of Koppers Holdings Inc. included in Item 1 of Part I of this report is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

There have been no material changes to the Risk Factors previously disclosed in Item 1A of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

- On August 16, 2004, the Company granted 537,287 restricted stock units to key employees under the Company's 2004 Restricted Stock Unit Plan. These units vest ratably through November 2008. On August 31, 2007, in connection with the vesting of a portion of these restricted stock units, the Company issued to these key employees an aggregate of 103,477 shares of common stock, net of 24,747 shares of common stock withheld for taxes in accordance with the terms of the 2004 Restricted Stock Unit Plan. These transactions were exempt from registration pursuant to Section 4 (2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. See description of the limitations on the payment of dividends in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations: Liquidity and Capital Resources.
- b) The following table sets forth information regarding Koppers Holdings' repurchases of shares of its common stock during the three months ended September 30, 2007:

Period	Total Number of Common Shares Purchased	pa Co	age Price aid per ommon Share	Total Number of Common Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number of Common Shares that May Yet be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
July 1 – July 31		\$			
August 1 – August 31	24,747	\$	36.73	24,747	— (1)
September 1 – September 30	_		_	_	_

⁽¹⁾ Under the terms of the Company's 2004 Restricted Stock Unit Plan, restricted stock units granted in 2004 vest ratably each year through 2008. Upon the vesting of a portion of these restricted stock units, each employee who holds these restricted stock units has the right to cause the Company to withhold shares of the Company's common stock for tax obligations incurred in connection with the vesting of these units and the related issuance of shares of the Company's common stock to such employee.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Date: November 8, 2007

Koppers Holdings Inc. (Registrant)

Ву: _____

/s/ BRIAN H. MCCURRIE

Brian H. McCurrie,
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer,
Principal Accounting Officer)

CERTIFICATIONS

I, Walter W. Turner, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Koppers Holdings Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-5(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 8, 2007

/s/ WALTER W. TURNER
Walter W. Turner
President and Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATIONS

- I, Brian H. McCurrie, certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Koppers Holdings Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-5(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 8, 2007

/s/ BRIAN H. MCCURRIE

Brian H. McCurrie

Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Koppers Holdings Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the quarter ending September 30, 2007 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), each of the undersigned hereby certifies in his capacity as an officer of the Company, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ Walter W. Turner	/s/ BRIAN H. McCurrie		
Walter W. Turner	Brian H. McCurrie		
Chief Executive Officer	Chief Financial Officer		
November 8, 2007	November 8, 2007		